## Technical Appendix 1.3 - Scoping Consultation Summary Table

Table 1: Summary of scoping consultation responses and where these have been addressed in the Environmental Statement (ES).

Consultee	Topic area	Issues raised	Where this is addressed in the ES
Planning Inspectorate, DNS Scoping Direction, May 2018	Proposed development	The Planning Inspectorate (PINS) has previously indicated that some elements of the scheme may need to be considered as secondary consents (e.g. the storage compound, common land consent), rather than as part of the DNS application itself. However, the scope of the EIA should include all elements of the development as identified in the SR, both permanent and temporary.	RES notes the potential for secondary consents. The ES has considered all temporary and permanent aspects of the development, as described in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Proposed Development.
		The ES will include consideration of the environmental effects of the indicative grid route corridor if sufficient detail is available from the District Network Operator. The grid connection should be subject to a high level assessment of cumulative effects with the Proposed Development, to include consideration of potential significant effects under all applicable EIA topics.	Volume 4, Technical Appendix 3.1: Assessment of Grid Connection.
		The Scoping Report (SR) provides information on the anticipated construction phasing and activities, stating an estimated construction period of 12-18 months. Operational maintenance activity and decommissioning are also briefly discussed. The ES should provide as much detail as possible on these elements, clearly explaining any assumptions made on which the identification of impacts has been based.	Construction and operational timings are provided in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Project Description.
		In line with the requirements of the 2017 Regulations, any reasonable alternatives should be presented in the ES. The reasons behind the selection of the chosen option should be provided, including where environmental effects have informed choices made.	The design process including consideration of alternatives is described in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives.

	The SR states that the Proposed Development is still the design stage, but outlines the components above stating they represent the 'worst case'. The Inspectorate notes from Section 2.3.2 of the SR the desire for flexibility in the proposed development design, with respect to the proposed turbines, transformers and switchgear, foundations and other elements including access. The Inspectorate advises the Applicant to make every attempt to narrow the range of options and explain clearly in the ES which elements of the Proposed Development have yet to be finalised and provide the reasons. At the time of application, any parameters presented should not be so wide-ranging as to represent effectively different developments. It is a matter for the Applicant to consider whether it is possible to robustly assess, in preparing their ES, a range of undecided parameters.	The Proposed Development is described in detail in Volume 2, Chapter 3 and shown in the figures contained in Volume 3, Chapter 3.
Consultation	The ES submitted by the Applicant should demonstrate consideration of the points raised by the consultation bodies. It is recommended that a table is provided in the ES summarising the scoping responses from the consultation bodies and how the are, or are not, addresses in the ES. Similarly, the ES should demonstrate how it has taken into account this Scoping Direction.	Please see Volume 4, Technical Appendix 1.3: Scoping Consultation Summary.
EIA approach	For all environmental aspects, the Applicant should ensure that any survey data is an up-to-date as possible and clearly set out in the ES the timing and nature of the data on which the assessment has been based. Any study area applied to the assessments should be clearly defined.	Please see Volume 2, Chapters 5 to 12 and accompanying Volume 4 Technical Appendices for details of surveys undertaken.
	The impacts of construction, operation and decommissioning activities should be considered as part of the assessment where these could give rise to significant environmental effects.	Please see Volume 2, Chapters 3 to 12 for details.
	As set out in the SR, consideration should be given to relevant legislation, planning policies and applicable best practice guidance documents throughout the ES.	The legislation, planning policies and best practice guidance documents taken into account in each topic area are described in each of the relevant chapters in Volume 2. Volume 2, Chapter 4 provides a policy review of the Proposed Development.

		Any mitigation relied upon for the purposes of that assessment should be explained in detail within the ES. The likely efficacy of the mitigation proposed should be explained with reference to residual effects. The ES should provide reference to how the delivery of measures proposed to prevent/minimise adverse effects is secured (through legal requirements or other suitable robust methods) and whether relevant consultees agree on the adequacy of the measures	Mitigation is proposed, where necessary, in the individual chapters of Volume 2. A summary of all the mitigation measures and how they will be secured is provided in Volume 2, Chapter 13: Schedule of Mitigation.
		proposed. The Inspectorate expects the ES to include a chapter setting out the overarching methodology for the assessment, which clearly distinguishes effects that are 'significant' from 'non-significant' effects. Any departure from that methodology should be described in individual aspect assessment chapters. Where professional judgement has been applied this should be clearly stated.	The overarching methodology is providing in Volume 1, Chapter 1: Introduction. More detailed methodologies for each specialist topic area are provided in Volume 2, Chapters 5-12.
		In relation to the assessment of cumulative effects, the Applicant should also have regards to the Planning Inspectorate's guidance for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects - Advice Note 17: Cumulative Effects Assessment - which may be of relevance, in addition to the guidance identified in the SR. It is noted from Section 3.4 of the SR the intention to consider only other wind farms with the cumulative assessment, and the Inspectorate advises that the Applicant ensure that other types of development which could give rise to cumulative effects are considered in the assessment. The scope of the cumulative assessment should be fully explained and justified in the ES.	The planning officer advised that no additional major infrastructure projects which would be required to be considered in a cumulative assessment were either in planning or expected to be submitted into planning in the near future.
	EIA assessment topics	In accordance with Regulation 17(4)(c) the ES should be based on this Scoping Direction in so far as the Proposed Development remains materially the same as the Proposed Development described in the Applicant's Scoping Report.	The Proposed Development remains materially the same as that described in the Scoping Report.
		The Inspectorate is content that the receipt of a Scoping Direction should not prevent the Applicant from subsequently agreeing with the relevant consultees to scope such matters out of the ES, where further evidence has been provided to justify this approach. However, in order to demonstrate that the matters have been appropriately addressed,	The Scoping Report and Scoping Direction are addressed in the ES and included, for reference, in Appendices 1.1 and 1.2 in Volume 4 of the ES.

		the ES should explain the reasoning for scoping them out and justify the approach taken.	
	Landscape and Visual Assessment	The Applicant should satisfy themselves that they fully considered the direct landscape impacts arising from the proposed development. The viewpoints included in the assessment should be adequate to allow significant visual effect to be fully assessed. Comments have been received by BCBC, regarding revisions to the viewpoints presented in the SR in Table 2: Preliminary Viewpoint Location. The Inspectorate recommends that comments raised by BCBC are taken into consideration by the Applicant and that efforts are made to agree viewpoint locations with consultees.	Chapter 5: Landscape and Visual
		The Inspectorate notes the intention to undertake the assessment in accordance with professional guidance and advises that the methodology applied to the assessment is clearly set out in the ES, including any departures from standard guidance where applicable.	Chapter 5: Landscape and Visual
		The Applicant should ensure that the landscape and visual impact of the whole scheme is assessed, and that as far as practical, all elements are included in visualisations. In particular, the control building, substation and storage compound should be included in any visualisations from close range viewpoints, in addition to any other permanent features. It will also be appropriate to consider temporary installations, for example cranes used during the construction phase.	Chapter 5: Landscape and Visual
	Ecology and ornithology	The Applicant should ensure that the baseline for the assessment is robust and provides the data necessary to assess the likely significant effects of the Proposed Development. The Inspectorate advises that ecological survey data which is greater than two years old may require to be updated in order to prepare the ES. In addition, the Applicant should ensure that ecological surveys are undertaken at the appropriate time of year, and where any departures from optimal survey timings and methodologies have been made the ES should justify this approach and explain the implications for the assessment of significant environmental effects. NRW have also provided comments on the SR in this regard (their full response is provided in Appendix 1).	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Data Collection

It is noted that the SR focusses on certain species and species groups (water voles, great crested newts, birds and bats) No information is provided as to why other features have been scoped out of the assessment (e.g. peat habitats, invertebrates, reptiles and badgers).	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
Full results of surveys undertaken should be included in the ES, with the use of appendices and figures as appropriate.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation Figures 6.1 - 6.11
	Technical Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
BCBC and NRW have provided comment with respect to the need to consider peat habitat and hydrological regime in their consultation responses, to which the Applicant should have regards.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
	Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
Section 5.5.1 of the SR indicates the features that will form the focus of the EIA. It is noted that only designated habitats are likely to be considered as important ecological receptors. The Inspectorate advises that the ecological receptors to be considered in the EIA should be those considered likely to be associated with significant environmental effects and that this may include undesignated habitats of ecological value (if present) which will be subject to impacts from the Proposed Development.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
In determining the sensitivity of receptors and features considered in the assessment, the Applicant should be aware that the NERC Act 2006 has now been superseded by the Environment (Wales) Act 2016.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Legislation and Planning Policy
The potential impacts identified in the SR do not consider indirect impacts on any of the designated sites noted in the desk study results, however, the SR does no explicitly scope out effects on these sites. The ES should clearly set out whether the Applicant considers if any significant effects on those sites could occur.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation - Designated Sites

-			
		Effects to habitats arising from indirect impacts, in particular changes to the hydrological regime of the site, are not discussed in the SR. In addition, this section does not identify any specific impacts on water vole, which the SR states have been recorded within habitat connected to the site. NRW also state in the Scoping response that further consideration will need to be given to potential impacts on water vole. The ES should address all potential impacts likely to arise from the Proposed Development and assess whether significant effects could occur.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects - Construction Effects - Water vole Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
	Acoustics	It is noted from the SR that noise impacts associated with construction and operation of the Proposed Development will be considered in the ES. The ES should clearly set out any assumptions made about construction activities and other information on which the assessment is based. It may be appropriate to cross-refer to information applied in other environmental aspect assessments, for example the Traffic and Transport assessment.	The assumptions made and information on which the construction and operational noise assessments are based are set out in the Methodology and Potential Impacts sections of Chapter 10: Acoustic Assessment
		It appears from paragraph 6.2 that issues of amplitude modulation (referred to as blade swish) will be included as part of the proposed acoustic assessment. The inclusion of that topic is considered appropriate and guidance relating to amplitude modulation contained in the Institute of Acoustics' Amplitude Modulation Working Group's Final Report: A Method of Rating Amplitude Modulation in Wind Turbine Noise', published in August 2016 should be taken into account when doing so.	The topic of Amplitude Modulation (AM) is addressed in Technical Appendix 10.2
		Any relevant guidance or standards, other that those outlined in the SR, that are published prior to or during production of the ES should be give due consideration. As set out in the SR, details of the methodology and monitoring locations to be used during acoustic assessment should be discussed and agreed where possible with appropriate officers within BCBC. It is noted that some engagement with BCBC officers has already taken place and that it has been agreed that the acoustic assessment will include reference to the Llynfi Afan Renewable Energy Park, along with Pant Y Wal and Pant Y Wal extension. The approach is supported.	Details of the consultation undertaken with BCBC environmental health department is detailed in the Consultation section of Chapter 10: Acoustic Assessment

	Given that park of the application site is within the RCTCBC area, methodology and monitoring locations should also be discussed and agreed where possible with appropriate officered at the Authority. A working group approach, including officers from both authorities, may be beneficial and aid consistency.	The advice of RCTCBC was sought in relation to the cumulative acoustic assessment
Cultural heritage	The Applicant should ensure that the study area, or areas, applied to the assessment are sufficient to identify all potential significant effects on heritage assets. The study area must be clearly defined in the ES. Given that some flexibility in the Proposed Development design is likely to remain at the time of assessment, the ES should demonstrate how the 'worst case scenario' has been taken into account, in particular with respect to effects on the setting of heritage assets.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage Technical Appendix 7.1 Archaeological and Heritage Desk Based Assessment Technical Appendix 7.2: ASIDOHL V2 Assessment
	Comments have been received by Cadw, who recommend that the study area is extended to ensure all the historic assets inter-visible with he proposed development are taken into account.	Technical Appendix 7.1 Archaeological and Heritage Desk Based Assessment Technical Appendix 7.2: ASIDOHL V2 Assessment
	In their response, Cadw also set out a number of recommendations and suggestions in relation to the assessment of impacts on scheduled ancient monuments, listed buildings and other aspects of the historic environment, and it is recommended that these points are taken into account by the Applicant in preparing the ES.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage Technical Appendix 7.1 Archaeological and Heritage Desk Based Assessment Technical Appendix 7.2: ASIDOHL V2 Assessment
	As set out in the SR, details of the approach to EIA for the cultural heritage assessment and impact assessment should consider effects throughout the lifetime of the proposal, including decommissioning, and be agreed where possible with BCBC and Cadw. The assessment should adhere to standard professional guidance and give consideration to Cadw's guidance Heritage Impact Assessment in Wales (2017).	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
Traffic and transport	The impacts on traffic and transport at operation and decommissioning stages of the Proposed Development have been scoped out according to the SR. The Inspectorate accepts that the operational phase is unlikely to generate significant traffic and therefore is unlikely to give rise to significant environmental effects, subject to the decommissioning	Chapter 9 Traffic, Transport and Access

	phase, the Inspectorate is not content that significant effects can be excluded and therefore advises that the matter be considered in the ES and does not agree to scope it out. Given the lack of detail known about the decommissioning phase at the point of assessment, it will be necessary for the ES to clearly set out the assumptions and estimates made in order to assess the potential for significant effects.	
	The assessment of traffic and transport should also include any potential for cumulative impacts arising in combination with other development.	Details of the cumulative impact on traffic and transport is detailed in the Cumulative Effects section of Chapter 9 Traffic, Transport and Access
	The consultation with relevant bodies as set out in the SR is advised. Attention should be given to the scoping consultation response provided by BCBC on this issue and those comments should be taken into account when preparing the relevant environmental information.	Details of the consultation undertaken with various stakeholders is detailed in the Consultation section of Chapter 9 Traffic, Transport and Access
Public Access, Land Use and Socio- Economics	The SR does not contain a section on the predicted impacts of the development in relation to this environmental aspect. With regards to Public Access, the potential impacts of the scheme on the Public Right of Way network should be fully assessed under the topic area in the ES.	Chapter 10 Public Access, Land use and Socioeconomics
	Rights of way and common land issues should be assessed over the full life cycle of the project and the potential for cumulative impact and interaction with other parts of the ES, for example landscape and visual effects on ecological features, taken into account.	
	Further consultation with relevant bodies as set out in the SR is advised in order to identify the potential impacts of the Proposed Development and refine the assessment in the ES.	
Shadow Flicker	The indicated approach in section 10.2 of the SR of assessing a 'worst case' scenario for shadow flicker is considered appropriate. However, it is not clear whether the 1,500m study area will be taken from the turbine locations or from the red line boundary and this should be clarified in the assessment. It is also suggested that the study area should consider the potential for the locations of turbines being moved e.g. through micro-siting. The ES should set out how significant of shadow flicker effects is assessed and any mitigation that is proposed.	Section 11.10 confirms that the shadow flicker study area is taken from turbine locations, not site boundary. Section 11.10 increases the study area by the requested micro-siting allowance.

		Section 11.16 details possible mitigation options should these be required.
Hydrology and hydrogeology	It is acknowledged that section 11.2 of the SR proposes to scope out a detailed impact assessment chapter for Hydrology and Hydrogeology but include the following information:	Chapter 8 Hydrology and Hydrogeology and the Sustainable Drainage Management Plan (SDMP) included in
	Sustainable Drainage Management Plan	Appendix 3.2.
	Drainage principles to manage water runoff	
	A watercourse schedule	
	Information on flood risk	
	The justification provided in the SR for scoping this topic out is that the Proposed Development will be designed to incorporate good practice with respect to controlling surface water runoff and water quality, as well as pollution prevention and control. In addition, the SR states that the Proposed Development has been designed to avoid impacts on hydrological resources. Having had regards to the information in the SR and to the nature and characteristics of the Proposed Development, the Inspectorate agrees that a detailed assessment chapter can be scoped out of the ES. However, it considers that hydrological matters related to other environmental aspects, in particular ecology, should remain with the scope of the ES (additionally, see comments below regarding peat).	
	In their Scoping response, NRW emphasise that the ES should include details of any watercourse crossings and set out relevant crossing design and measures to protect riparian-linked habitats. This will be particularly important where potential water vole habitats have been identified in the north east of the site. The above information (in particular the watercourse schedule) should address NRW's comments.	Significant watercourse crossings have been avoided. The track layout does not intercept any watercourses shown on 1:50,000 scale OS mapping. Please see Volume 2, Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives.
Geology, mining and peat	The Inspectorate notes that further geotechnical studies are proposed in order to inform the detailed design of the Proposed Development, but that detailed assessment of these issues is proposed to be scoped out of the ES by the Applicant. The information in the SR with regards	The results of the geotechnical studies are referenced in Volume 2, Chapter 2 Design Evolution and Alternatives and

	to detailed investigations to date into past mining activity, geophysical and geotechnical investigations and peat survey have been considered along with the information on the Proposed Development and the Inspectorate is content to scope these matters out of the ES with the exception of peat.	the reports are included in Appendix 2.1 and 2.2. Assessment of peat hydrology is included in Volume 2, Chapter 8 Hydrology and Hydrogeology and an assessment of peat habitat in Volume 2, Chapter 6 Ecology and Biodiversity.
	NRW also note the uncertainty around the avoidance of peat with respect to the layout of the Proposed Development in their consultation response. They also comment that even if the infrastructure and hardstanding areas of the Project avoid areas of deep peat an assessment would still be needed of the hydrological impacts on contiguous habitats with shared or connected hydrology. The Inspectorate considers that it would be appropriate to address effects on peat as a separate chapter or as part of another appropriate chapter, such as the ecology and biodiversity assessment as identified under section 11.3.5 of the SR.	Assessment of peat hydrology is included in Volume 2, Chapter 8 Hydrology and Hydrogeology. Areas of deep peat have been avoided. Peat depths are shown on Volume 3, Figure 8.1 Peat Depth Plan.
Aviation and defence	It is accepted that there will be no significant residual effects on aviation or defence following implementation of technical mitigation. A summary of consultation undertaken and details of any technical mitigation measures should be presented so that any exclusion of aviation and defence issues from the scope of the ES is justified.	An aviation consultation summary is provided in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives.
Air quality	Having considered the information in the SR and the characteristics of the Proposed Development, it is accepted that due to the nature of the development, there are unlikely to be significant effects on air quality as such this topic can be scoped out of the ES.	Noted.
Climate change	Having considered the information in the SR and the characteristics of the Proposed Development it is accepted that as the effect on climate change is unlikely to be significant, the carbon calculation can be appropriately presented as a technical appendix to the project description chapter in the ES.	Volume 4 Appendix 3.3.
Electromagnetic interference	As with aviation and defence issues, it is accepted from the information in the SR that there will be no significant residual effects on	A summary of consultation with all operators is given in Table 2.1 of

	electromagnetic interference following implementation of technical mitigation. A summary of consultation undertaken, and details of any technical mitigation measures should be presented so that any exclusion of electromagnetic interference from the scope of the ES is justified.	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives.
Human health	Although it is agreed that a separate human health impact assessment is not needed as part of the ES, the Applicant should ensure that the ES addresses any significant effects on human health, in light of changes to the EIA Regulations in 2017. Section 11.8 identifies the noise and shadow flicker chapters as having implications for human health, but it is suggested that residential visual amenity, public access and traffic may also have implications for human health that should be considered under those topics.	A discussion of wind turbine noise and health effects is included in Volume 4, Technical Appendix 10.2. Residential visual amenity is addressed in Volume 2, Chapter 5. Public access is addressed in Volume 2, Chapter 12. Health implications from traffic are addressed in Volume 2, Chapter 9.
Major accidents and/or disasters	Having considered the information in the SR, it accepted that there is unlikely to be a significant effect from major accidents or disasters and as such this topic does not need a separate chapter within the ES. However, the ES should include a description and assessment (where relevant) of the likely significant effects resulting from accidents and disasters applicable to the Proposed Development. The Applicant should make use of appropriate guidance (for example: that referenced in the Health and Safety Executives (HSE) Annex to Advice Note 11) to better understand the likelihood of an occurrence and the Proposed Development's susceptibility to potential major accidents and hazards. The description and assessment should consider the vulnerability of the Proposed Development to a potential accident or disaster and also the Proposed Development's potential to cause an accident or disaster. The assessment should specifically assess significant effects resulting from the risks to human health, cultural heritage or the environment. Any measures that will be employed to prevent and control significant effects should be presented in the ES.	Noted.

	Waste and material resources	Having regard to the information in the SR and to the nature and characteristics of the Proposed Development the Inspectorate considers that significant effects are unlikely to arise from the generation of waste of use of material resources and as such this topic can be scoped out of the ES.	Noted.
	Transboundary Effects	Schedule 4 Part 5 of the EIA Regulations requires a description of the likely significant transboundary effects to be provided in an ES. The SR has not indicated whether the Proposed Development is likely to have significant impacts on another European Economic Area (EEA) State. The ES should address this matter as appropriate.	No significant transboundary effects are anticipated.
	Environmental Statement Structure	Providing that the comments above are taken into account and all effects can be considered under the proposed topic chapters, the structure of the ES identified in section 3.6 of the SR is considered appropriate.	The ES structure is as proposed in the Scoping Report.
		It is suggested that as the assessments are made, consideration is given to whether stand-alone topic chapters would be necessary for topics that are currently proposed to be considered as part of other chapters, particularly if it is apparent that there are significant effects and a large amount of information for a particular topic.	
		The Applicant should satisfy themselves that the ES included all the information outlined in Schedule 4 of the 2017 Regulations. In addition, the Applicant should ensure that the Non-Technical Summary (Volume 1) includes a summary of all the information included in Schedule 4.	
Bridgend County Borough Council, 3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2018 (Scoping Direction Report)	Proposed Development	Construction phase - In addition to the content of the scoping report, the biggest risk from a pollution viewpoint, occurs during construction with silt as suspended solid runoff being the main issue. The developer should therefore plan the works carefully, so that contaminated water cannot run uncontrolled into any watercourses (including ditches). It is recommended that a Construction Environmental Management Plan is produced to ensure management of pollution incidents and protection to the environment.	Volume 2, Chapter 8 Hydrology and Hydrogeology and the Sustainable Drainage Management Plan (SDMP) included in Appendix 3.2.

Landscape ar Visual	d This Council has already provided observations to LUC who have been commissioned by the developers to undertake the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment. The Council has requested that additional viewpoints be undertaken and revisions be made to those listed in Table 2: Preliminary Viewpoint Location. A copy of the Council's response will be provided as part of the response and it is requested again that consideration be given to these locations.	Chapter 5: Landscape and Visual
Ecology and Bio-diversity	Natural Resources Wales (NRW) have commented that the evaluation of the impacts of the scheme should include: direct and indirect; secondary; cumulative; short medium and long term; permanent and temporary; positive and negative and construction (including impacts of construction site access) operation and decommissioning phase impacts on the nature conservation resource, landscape and public access.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity
	With regard to 'Description of Biodiversity', NRW would expect the ES to include a description of all the existing natural resources and wildlife interests within and in the vicinity of the proposed development, together with an assessment of the significance of any likely impacts.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
	Ecological Survey Information: NRW advise that consideration is given to updating ecological survey information that is two years old or older. If the submission is not imminent, we advise <u>that this ecological</u> <u>season is utilised to provide the relevant information</u> .	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection
	Key Habitats: NEW not that Phase 1 surveys for the whole site date back to 2014, with some more recent information from 2016 for some of the site. NRW are pleased to see that a repeat Phase 1 will be undertaken in 2018, however it is suggested that this is carried out in June/July as opposed to late spring as proposed. This will ensure that the most accurate information on the flora is obtained. This should be undertaken in accordance with the NCC Phase 1 survey guidelines (NCC 1990).	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection
	NRW usually expect the applicant to categorise the on-site habitats found in terms of Section 7 priority habitat types and quantify and mitigate for any losses of these habitats. NRW strongly recommend	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation

that remaining on-site habitats are enhanced through a habitat management plan, maintaining hydrological links and restoring degrading habitats - with particular focus on the degraded area of blanket bog identified in the existing Phase 1 survey. NRW and this Council also emphasise that protection and restoration of peat and associated habitats with the resulting ecosystem services benefits (biodiversity, carbon sink, flood risk management etc.), is central to delivery of the 'Resilient Wales' goal under the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act.	Volume 2, Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
Protected Species: The site should be comprehensively assessed for it potential to support protected species. Surveys for protected species should be undertaken by suitably qualified, experienced and where necessary, licensed surveyors in accordance with published guidance, where this exists, and best practice.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
It is notes that the submission will be accompanied by specific surveys for bats, great crested newts, water voles and birds.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Volume 4, Technical Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
Bat surveys should follow the guidance in the Bat Conservation Trust's 'Bat Survey for Professional Ecologists. Good Practice Guidelines (3 <sup>rd</sup> Edition) 2016', and Chapter 2 of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition 2012 of these guidelines ('Surveying for Onshore Wind Farms') which specifically relates to development proposals of this type. Whilst it is notes tht the ground level transects surveys have considered the current proposed turbine locations, the static detector deployment was representative of the turbine locations as the were in 2015/2016. With regards to the current layout, this means that statics were deployed near only 3 of the 8 proposed turbine locations. NRW therefore advise that consideration is given to updating the surveys if the turbine locations are now	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Volume 4, Technical Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports

different and the ES should include a justification and explanation for their siting.	
Detailed consideration should be given to the presentation of survey results, to give a clear picture of the use of the site by bats across the site, by different species and at different times of the night throughout the seasons.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation Volume 4, Technical Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
NRW acknowledge that surveys of the Werfa mast compound were undertaken in 2016 and refer to the earlier comment above regarding updating survey data.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation Volume 4, Technical Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
Great Crested Newts (GCN): Three ponds identified with the site were subject to GCN surveys in 2016 and that two ponds adjacent to the access route were surveyed using eDNA sampling. The location of these latter two ponds is not clear and should be clarified by the submission. Full survey methodology and results should be provided for the eDNA surveys. Again, these surveys will need to be updated as necessary.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Figure 6.4
Water Voles: Further consideration will need to be given to potential impacts of the development on water vole and we recommend that habitat enhancement opportunities are considered in the final submission.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects - Construction Effects - Water vole
Ornithology: NRW understand an Ornithological Impact Assessment is to be carried out which is to include collision risk modelling. Post construction monitoring should be considered to give a better understanding of windfarms on the effects of birds.	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects - Operational Effects - Birds - Collision
	Technical Appendix 6.4 - Collision Risk Analysis

Acoustics	The 'Scoping Report' confirms that consultation with the Council's Public Protection Section; (Shared Regulatory Services) have already taken place regarding the proposed methodology for assessing noise from the development. It sis critical that a cumulative noise assessment is undertaken and shall reference the Llynfi Afan Renewable Energy Park along with Pant Y Wal and Pant Y Wal extension.	A cumulative acoustic impact has been undertaken and can be found in the Cumulative Effects section of Volume 2, Chapter 10: Acoustic Assessment
Traffic and Transport	The Council acknowledge that a Traffic Management Plan will be provided in support of the application. Prior to the preparation of this report, I would recommend contact Jason Jenkins - Highways Network Manager - Jason.jenkins@bridgend.gov.uk and Robert Morgan - Senior Development Control Officer - rob.morgan@bridgend.gov.uk to discuss the scope of the report. This Council agrees that the operational traffic associated with the development does not need to be included within the transport and traffic assessment.	A commitment to produce a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) prior to construction commencing in consultation with Bridgend County Borough County is included in Volume 2, Chapter 9 Traffic: Transport and Access.
Shadow flic	Ker The Council agrees with the scope of the assessment for shadow flicker, but recent experience suggests that complaints of shadow flicker have been received properties that are beyond the prescribed distance (the diameter of 10 rotor blades).	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Design evolution and alternatives. No properties are within 10 x rotor diameter of the turbines.
Topics scop out of the B		Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives Chapter 3: Project Description Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
	There has been discussion about possible re-siting of the track between T7 and T3 and track route to T8, as well as rotation of the crane pad at T8 to avoid areas of deep peat, but as we have not seen the final layout it is not clear whether these recommendations have been incorporated. Even if the layout is adjusted such that the infrastructure avoids areas	

		of deep peat, an assessment would still need to be made of the hydrological impacts resulting from the turbines, infrastructure and construction on contiguous habitats with shared or connected hydrology. <u>NRW would therefore recommend that peat and</u> <u>hydrology be scoped in to the final assessment.</u>	
		It is noted that the applicant proposes to scope out a detailed impact assessment of hydrology and hydrogeology. NRW further emphasise that the ES should include details of any watercourse crossings, whether in this chapter or elsewhere in the ES, and set out relevant crossing design and measures to protect riparian-linked habitats. This will be particularly important where potential water vole habitats have been identified in the north east of the site"	Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
Cadw, 4 <sup>th</sup> May 2018	Scheduled monuments	Within 5km of the development site there are approximately 17 schedules monuments. Give the significant height of the proposed wind turbines, and their location on a hilltop summit, it is considered that a 5km search area would be far too constrained for this development and it is likely that it will have a visual impact on the setting of scheduled monuments beyond a 5km range. It is therefore recommended that the applicant's search area includes all scheduled monuments that are inter-visible with the proposed development.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
		The applicant should avoid impacting directly on any schedules monuments. Where it is proposed to directly impact on any schedules monument, scheduled monument consent would be required from Cadw in advance. The schedule monument consent application would need to be accompanied by a detailed statement of significance expressing the heritage values of the monument(s) concerned, and a heritage impact assessment conforming to Cadw's recent guidance (which also explores alternatives), and that would show how the proposal would impact on the monument's heritage values.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage The Proposed Development has been designed to avoid directly impacting any scheduled monument.
		Given the very large size of the proposed development site, the dispersed nature and very significant height of the wind turbines and the high density of scheduled monuments, Cadw would expect to see a very detailed analysis of the impact of the proposals on the setting of	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage

the scheduled monuments in line with Cadw's recently published guidance. The analysis needs to be proportionate to the large scale and visual intrusion of the development and the very high significance and sensitivity of the scheduled monuments. The applicant should be aware that in Cadw's view the proposed development is likely to have a significant adverse impact on a number of scheduled monuments of national importance.	
The correct baseline data sources have been identified, although the applicant should consider the use of LiDAR to determine whether the linear dykes continue beyond the scheduled areas. Additional sources of aerial photography may also be found at the Welsh Government's Aerial Photography Unit in Cardiff. The scheduled monuments should be identified as high sensitive receptors and the applicant should supply photomontages showing the views of the turbines and infrastructure inter-visible with relevant monuments to inform their understanding and to enable the visual impact on setting to be determined.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
The applicant proposes to use an assessment methodology adapted from the Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessment for Cultural World Heritage Properties, with reference to comparable approaches in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) for assessing the sensitivity of historic assets. Cadw had recently published Heritage Impact Assessment in Wales guidance, and it is recommended that this guidance and the good practice therein is used instead of the guidance on world heritage properties. The heritage values (evidential, historical, aesthetic and communal) should be used to define the significance of the scheduled monuments following Cadw's published Conservation Principles guidance; this will inform the assessment of their sensitivity.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
The assessment should also consider the likely significant effects during decommissioning as well as construction and operation. The assessment should also consider the cumulative impacts of this proposal with other existing and proposed schemes on the historic environment.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage

	Listed Buildings	Within 5km of the development site there are approximately 53 listed buildings, within the area of the proposed Wind Farm, of which there are 3No grade II* listed buildings - Tynewydd Farm House (No. 13115), Park and Dare Workmen's Institute and Hall (No. 18064) and St Peter's Parish Church (No. 13126). The proposed development site with its 150m high wind turbines and its ancillary structures will have an impact on the setting of listed buildings within the 5km areas. The impact on the setting of the listed building is likely to be significant and an even wider/extended study area should be taken into account covering all the historic assets inter visible with the proposed development.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
	Registered Historic Landscapes	The applicant should undertake to identify all registered historic landscapes, parks and gardens that are inter-visible with the proposed development and assess the impact(s) accordingly. The applicant proposed to use the ASIDOHL2 methodology. This is acceptable.	Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage
Natural Resources Wales, 20 <sup>th</sup> April 2018	Construction Phase	In addition to the content of the scoping report, the biggest risk from a pollution viewpoint, occurs during construction with silt as suspended solid runoff being the main issue. The developer should therefore plan the works carefully, so that contaminated water cannot run uncontrolled into any watercourse (including ditches). We recommend a Construction Environmental Management Plan is produced to ensure management of pollution incidents and protection to the environment.	Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
	Ecology and biodiversity	Evaluation of the impacts of the scheme should include: direct and indirect; secondary; cumulative; short medium and long term; permanent and temporary; positive and negative, and construction (including impacts of construction site access) operation and decommissioning phase impacts on the nature conservation resource, landscape and public access.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity
	Description of Biodiversity	We would expect the ES to include a description of all the existing natural resources and wildlife interests within and in the vicinity of the proposed development, together with an assessment of the significance of any likely impacts.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Ecological Survey Information	We advise that consideration is given to updating ecological survey information that is two years old or older. If the submission is not imminent we advise that this ecological season is utilised to provide the relevant updated information.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Data Collection
	Key Habitats	We note that Phase 1 surveys for the whole site date back to 2014, with some more recent information from 2016 for some of the site. We are pleased to see that a repeat Phase 1 will be undertaken in 2018, however we would suggest that this is carried out in June/July as opposed to late spring as proposed. This will ensure that the most accurate information on the flora is obtained. This should be undertaken in accordance with the NCC Phase 1 survey guidelines (NCC (1990). Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey. NCC, Peterborough).	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity <i>Baseline Data Collection</i>
		We usually expect the applicant to categorise the on-site habitats found in terms of Section 7 priority habitat types and quantify and mitigate for any losses of these habitats. We strongly recommend that remaining on-site habitats are enhanced through a habitat management plan, maintaining hydrological links and restoring degrading habitats - with particular focus on the degraded area of blanket bog identified in the existing Phase 1 survey. We also emphasise that protection and restoration of peat and associated habitats, with the resulting ecosystem services benefits (biodiversity, carbon sink, flood risk management etc.) is central to delivery of the 'Resilient Wales' goal under the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity <i>Baseline Conditions and Evaluation</i> Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
	Protected species	The site should be comprehensively assessed for it potential to support protected species. Surveys for protected species should be undertaken by suitably qualified, experienced and where necessary, licensed surveyors in accordance with published guidance, where this exists and best practice. We note that the submission will be accompanied by specific surveys for bats, great crested newts, water voles and birds.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Baseline Conditions and Evaluation
	Bats	Bat surveys should follow the guidance in the Bat Conservation Trust's 'Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists. Good Practice Guidelines (3 <sup>rd</sup> Edition) 2016', and Chapter 2 of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition 2012 of these guidelines ('Surveying for Onshore Wind Farms) which specifically relates to	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection

	development proposals of this type. Whilst we note that the ground level transects surveys have considered the current proposed turbine locations, we note that the static detector deployment was representative of the turbine locations as they were in 2015/2016. With regards to the current layout, this means that statics were deployed near lonely 3 of the 8 proposed turbine locations. We therefore advise that consideration is given to updating the surveys if the turbine locations are now different, and the ES should include a justification and explanation for their siting.	Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
	Detailed consideration should be given to the presentation of survey results, to give a clear picture of the use of the site by bats across the site, by different species and at different times of the night throughout the seasons.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
	We acknowledge that surveys of the Werfa mast compound were undertaken in 2016 and refer to our comment above regarding updating survey data.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline Conditions and Evaluation Appendix 6.3: Ecological Survey Reports
Great Crested Newts (GCN)	Three ponds identified within the site were subject to GCN surveys in 2016 and that two ponds adjacent to the access routs were surveyed using eDNA sampling. The location of these latter two ponds is not clear and should be clarified by the submission. Full survey methodology and results should be provided for the eDNA surveys. Again, these surveys will need to be updated as necessary.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Baseline data collection Figure 6.4
Water voles	Further consideration will need to be given to potential impacts of the development on water vole and we recommend that habitat enhancement opportunities are considered in the final submission.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects – Construction Effects – Water vole
Ornithology	We understand an Ornithological Impact Assessment is to be carried out which is to include collusion risk modelling. Post construction monitoring should be considered to give a better understanding of windfarms on the effects of birds.	Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects - Operational Effects - Birds - Collision

			Appendix 6.4 - Collision Risk Analysis
ou Hy	ppics scoped ut of the ES: /drology and eat	The justification for scoping out any further consideration of impacts on peat is based on the site walkover surveys and peat probe surveys that were undertaken in 2017, and the fact that the proposed turbine locations and wind farm infrastructure will avoid areas of deep peat (>0.5m). However this appears to contradict the peat contour maps that we discussed with the applicant during pre-app consultation which show that the proposed track between turbines T7 and T3 and the proposed track route and turbine pad T8 both affect areas of deep peat. There has been discussion about possible re-siting of the track between T7 and T3 and track route to T8, as well as rotation of the crane pad to T8 to avoid areas of deep peat, but as we have not seen the final layout it is not clear whether these recommendations have been incorporated. Even if the layout is adjusted such that the infrastructure avoids areas of deep peat, an assessment would still need to be made of the hydrological impacts resulting from the turbines, infrastructure and construction on contiguous habitats with shared or connected hydrology. We recommend therefore that peat and hydrology be scoped in to the final assessment.	Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives Chapter 3: Project Description Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology
		We also note that the applicant proposes to scope out a detailed impact assessment of hydrology and hydrogeology. We would further emphasise that the ES should include details of any watercourse crossings, whether in this chapter or elsewhere in the ES, and set out relevant crossing design and measures to protect riparian-linked habitats. This will be particularly important where potential water vole habitats have been identified in the north east of the site.	Chapter 8: Hydrology and Hydrogeology Chapter 6: Ecology and Biodiversity Likely Significant Effects - Construction Effects - Water vole